

TELEGRAM

Logistics
Foreign Service of the
United States of America

INCOMING Amembassy Paris

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Classification

Control: 1153

Recd: 9 June 62
5:00 pm

ACTION: MAS
INFO
AMB
MIN
MINECON
ADMIN
FIN
POL-5
ULMER
MAAG
STOESSEL
SHAPEL
EUCOML
ARMATT
AIRATT
CINCEUR
COMZ
USAREUR
KF
PF

FROM: USCINCEUR

NO: ECJDL 9-09411

ACTION CINCUSAREUR HEIDELBERG AMEMBASSY PARIS

BONN for CINCEUR Liaison Officer.

Reference: Embassy Paris 4065 to SECSTATE, 28 February 62
Part I.

1. (C) The message quoted below is proposed for transmission to OSD. If Amembassies concur, recommend Embassy Bonn send similar message to State.

QUOTE:

1. (C) Summarizing discussions between representatives of Embassies Bonn and Paris, CHMAAG Bonn, USAREUR, COMZ, and this Headquarters, the following concerning the declassification of Directives required to implement the US/FRG cooperative logistic arrangements were proposed.

A. That the concept of the Strauss/Gilpatrick agreement to include the specific arrangements remain Confidential.

B. That the subject "US/FRG cooperative logistics system" be regarded to Unclassified.

C. That the necessary implementing directives be Unclassified provided:

(1) They make no reference to the Strauss-Gilpatrick memorandum of understanding.

(2) They make no reference to the specific arrangement being implemented.

(3) They make no mention of the surcharge criteria of the arrangement.

(4) That no specific reference is made to matters that

OES-6
ECON
POL
DEF-2

/1

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Classification

REPRODUCTION FROM THIS COPY IS
EXCEPTED UNLESS "UNCLASSIFIED"

FORM FD-701
2-21-59

DECLASSIFIED
Authority NLE 2007-283 #6
By MMK NLDDE Date 2/15/12

Electrostatic reproduction made
by the Eisenhower Library for
preservation purposes.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

-2-

will have a third country implication unless this information has been cleared with the FRG and the country informed. This is applicable to Project 7, Depot Supply support, Project 8, sale of war reserves, and Project 9, depot maintenance (only for KGAT ~~etc~~ maintenance to be performed in the third country).

2. (C) In keeping with the above and in continuation of reference which stated that the Government of France would be informed as to further developments concerning the Strauss/Gilpatrick memorandum of understanding, it is recommended that the following statement concerning US/FRG cooperative logistics system be delivered to the GOF (either Foreign Office or Ministry of Armed Forces) subsequent to approval of the release by the FRG. It should be noted that the draft text of this release has been coordinated with Embassies Bonn and Paris.

QUOTE:

1. (C) On 28 February we informed you regarding the US/FRG logistics arrangements. We advised you that it was not possible at that time to predict accurately the amount of material and equipment that will flow through US facilities in France as a result of arrangements with the FRG. We are now at the point of issuing implementing directives to US logistic agencies to provide for the supply of secondary items and repair parts to the US Army and the FRG forces. Items currently supported by NMSSA are excluded from these arrangements.

2. (C) Requisitions from the designated FRG requisitioning agency will be submitted to the appropriate US Army logistical agencies in France and Germany. FRG requisitions will be processed by the US Army facilities in the same manner as for US forces. All physical deliveries to FRG will be accomplished at designated US delivery points in FRG. Shipments may originate from US depots in the US, FRG or France.

3. (C) As noted previously, it is expected that these arrangements will not have a great impact on US logistic facilities in France inasmuch as the majority of support will be provided from US facilities in the US and FRG. In comparison with the 450 million dollars of support furnished US forces through the US LOC in France annually, it is estimated that the additional dollar support through the LOC in support of FRG under these arrangements will not exceed 10 million dollars in the forthcoming year.

4. (C) The increased activity will reflect some additional US expenditures in France in port operations, facility operations and transportation requirements. However, no additional requirement for facilities in France is contemplated in carrying out these arrangements.

5. (C) The activities relating to the arrangement developed as ~~missing portion on service~~

Electrostatic reproduction made
by the Eisenhower Library for
preservation purposes.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

-3-

EXPECTED

expected that US depot maintenance support for the FRG will be accomplished almost in its entirety in UF facilities in FRG proper.

UNQUOTE UNQUOTE.

3. (C) Subject to FRG concurrence and subsequent to notification of the GOF, request approval soonest of proposals contained in paragraph 1 to enable this Headquarters to publish guidance to the components to enable them to publish Unclassified implementing directives regarding US/FRG cooperative logistics in consonance with the above proposals.

UNQUOTE.

2. [sic] It is envisaged, after approval by State and Defense, that Embassy Bonn will submit proposals to the FRG for approval.

Part II.

3. (U) Part II concerns cooperative logistic negotiations with the GOF.

4. (C) Request concurrence in clearing such information as is necessary to enable US and French project officers to accomplish required discussions. Information released will be consistent with the proposals for declassification enumerated above SCP-1.

NO SIGNATURE

s jm

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Reproduction made
by the Eisenhower Library for
preservation purposes.