CHRONOLOGY OF SOVIET BLOC REACTION TO EISENHOWER’S U.N. SPEECH

PRESIDENT EISENHOWER’S SPEECH ON ATOMIC ENERGY TUESDAY HAS BEEN MET BY COMMUNIST RADIOS WITH A CHANGING PATTERN OF FIRST APPLAUSE, THEN CRITICISM, AND FINALLY VIRTUAL SILENCE.

THE FIRST COMINFORM REACTION TO THE SPEECH CAME ON WEDNESDAY AFTERNOON WHEN BERLIN RADIO SAID IN A BRIEF DISPATCH FROM NEW YORK THAT EISENHOWER HAD “FELT COMPELLED TO ANNOUNCE IN THE UNITED NATIONS GENERAL ASSEMBLY POLICIC IN THE UNITED NATIONS GENERAL ASSEMBLY LAST NIGHT WHAT AMOUNTED TO THE SAME SPEECH.” TASS” DISTRIBUTED IN RUSSIAN TO EUROPE A “DETAILED AND OBJECTIVE” SUMMARY OF CHURCHILL’S CONFERENCE SPEECH PROPOSING NEGATIVE INTERPOLATIONS IN THE “TASS” SUMMARY WERE BRIEF LINES SAYING THAT “THIS LANGUAGE IS THE LANGUAGE OF THE ATOMIC WAR,” AND THAT THE PRESIDENT HAD NOT “EXPRESSED HIS ATTITUDE TOWARDS THE BANNING OF ATOMIC WEAPONS.” THIS “TASS” PRESENTATION WAS PUBLISHED IN “PRAVDA” THURSDAY WITHOUT SEPARATE COMMENT.

AT THE SAME TIME, HOWEVER, A CONTRADICTORY NEGATIVE REACTION WAS HEARD ON RADIO MOSCOW’S FOREIGN BEAMS. ON WEDNESDAY EVENING ORLOV, BROADCASTING ONLY TO NORTH AMERICA, SAID EISENHOWER WAS TRYING TO “SCARE HIS LISTENERS” RATHER THAN TO GIVE THEM HOPE AND HE ALSO AVOIDED THE “CRUCIAL QUESTION” — THE BAN ON THE BOMB “URGENTLY AND UNCONDITIONALLY.” IN A WIDELY BROADCAST COMMENTARY THE SAME EVENING, DEALING MAINLY WITH THE BERDMUDA CONFERENCE, LEONTIEV SAID IN PASSING THAT THE EISENHOWER PLAN WAS A MERELY ATTEMPT TO “PUSH THROUGH A NEW VERSION OF THE SAME OLD BARUCH PLAN, WHICH REPUDIATES THE NECESSITY FOR THE BANNING OF ATOMIC WEAPONS.”


WHILE MOSCOW HAS SURFACED BOWING NOT ONLY TO POLAND AND BERLIN, BUT ALSO TO OTHER INITIAL SATELLITE COMMENT WAS COMPLETELY NEGATIVE. VIENNA RADIO’S “RUSSIAN HOUR” WASHED AWAY PRAGUE’S “HAPPY SPEECH” AS NOTHING MORE THAN A NEW VERSION OF THE “NOTORIOUS” BARUCH PLAN.

THE MIXED SOVIET REACTION WAS COMPLICATED FURTHER ON THURSDAY, WHEN FOUR SOVIET NEWSPAPERS — “Izvestia,” “Truth,” “Red Star,” AND “Komsomolskaya Pravda” DID NOT APPEAR. THE PRESS REVIEW FOR EARLY THURSDAY MORNING SAID THAT THESE PAPERS HAD “A DAY OFF.” IN ADDITION, SEVERAL OF THE SOVIET REGIONAL STATIONS FAILED TO INCLUDE PRESS REVIEWS IN THEIR BROADCASTS.

THE NEXT MENTION OF THE SPEECH WAS THE HOME SERVICE ANNOUNCEMENT ON SATURDAY AFTERNOON OF AMBASSADOR BORLEN’S INTERVIEW WITH MOROZOV FIVE DAYS EARLIER, ON DECEMBER 7. SINCE THIS ANNOUNCEMENT, WHICH SAID THE SOVIET GOVERNMENT WOULD GIVE “SERIOUS CONSIDERATION” TO THE SPEECH, MOSCOW HAS REFRAINED FROM ANY FURTHER COMMENT.

SINCE THE AUTHORITATIVE FOREIGN MINISTRY ANNOUNCEMENT ON SATURDAY THE SATELLITES ALSO HAVE MOSTLY WITHHELD COMMENT ON THE SPEECH. THE ONLY SUBSTANTIAL COMMENT FROM ANY COMINFORM SOURCE ON SUNDAY CAME FROM THE CZECHOSLOVAK COMMENTATOR SUBRT, WHO TOLD THE HOME AUDIENCE THAT THE SPEECH WAS A “GARRULOUS DECLARATION” VERY SIMILAR IN CONTENT TO THE “OLD, HYPOCRITICAL BARUCH PLAN.”
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